## Additional Practice Final 1

This additional practice final is not worth any extra credit points, but should serve as a good review for the final exam. It was given as an actual final exam in Winter 2012-2013.

## Question

(1) Discrete Mathematics
(2) Regular Languages
(3) Context-Free Languages
(4) R, RE , and co-RE Languages
(5) $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{N P}$ Languages

|  | Points | Grader |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(25)$ | $/ 25$ |  |
| $(40)$ | $/ 40$ |  |
| $(30)$ | $/ 30$ |  |
| $(55)$ | 155 |  |
| $(30)$ | $/ 30$ |  |
| $(\mathbf{1 8 0})$ | $1 \mathbf{1 8 0}$ |  |
|  |  |  |

## Problem One: Discrete Mathematics

## (25 Points)

There can be many functions from one set $A$ to a second set $B$. This question explores how many functions of this sort there are.

For any set $S$, we will denote by $2^{S}$ the following set:

$$
2^{S}=\{f \mid f: S \rightarrow\{0,1\}\}
$$

That is, $2^{S}$ is the set of all functions whose domain is $S$ and whose codomain is the set $\{0,1\}$. Note that $2^{S}$ does not mean "two raised to the $S$ th power." It's just the notation we use to denote the set of all functions from $S$ to $\{0,1\}$.

Prove that for any nonempty set $S$, we have $\left|2^{S}\right|=|\wp(S)|$. You may find the following definition useful: given two functions $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: A \rightarrow B$, we have $f=g$ iff for all $a \in A, f(a)=g(a)$. Your proof should work for all sets $S$, including infinite sets.

## Problem Two: Regular Languages

(40 Points Total)

## (i) Rock, Paper, Scissors

(20 Points)
The number of characters in a regular expression is defined to be the total number of symbols used to write out the regular expression. For example, $(\mathrm{a} \mid \mathrm{b})$ * is a six-character regular expression, and $a b$ is a two-character regular expression.
Let $\Sigma=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$. Find examples of all of the following:

- A regular language over $\Sigma$ with a one-state NFA but no one-state DFA.
- A regular language over $\Sigma$ with a one-state DFA but no one-character regular expression.
- A regular language over $\Sigma$ with a one-character regular expression but no one-state NFA. Prove that all of your examples have the required properties.
(ii) Nonregular Languages
(20 Points)
A natural number $n>1$ is called composite iff it can be written as $n=r s$ for natural numbers $r$ and $s$, where $r \geq 2$ and $s \geq 2$. A natural number $n>1$ is called prime iff it is not composite.
Let $\Sigma=\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and consider the language $L=\left\{\mathbf{a}^{n} \mid n\right.$ is prime $\}$. For example:
- $\varepsilon \notin L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{3} \in L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{6} \notin L$
- $\quad \mathbf{a} \notin L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{4} \notin L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{7} \in L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{2} \in L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{5} \in L$
- $\mathbf{a}^{8} \notin L$

Prove that $L$ is not regular. You may want to use the fact that for every natural number $n$, there is a prime number $p$ such that $p>n$.
(A note: When we gave this problem out on the final exam in Winter, we had covered the pumping lemma for regular languages as a primary tool for showing nonregularity rather than the Myhill-Nerode theorem. Accordingly, the intended solution was shorter than the one from Problem Set 6. That said, make sure you still understand the solution to that problem!)

## Problem Three: Context-Free Languages

(30 Points Total)

## (i) Context-Free Grammars

(20 Points)
Let $\Sigma=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ and let $L=\left\{\mathbf{a}^{n} \mathbf{b}^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. The complement of this language is the language $\bar{L}$. For example:

- $\mathbf{a b b} \in \bar{L}$
- $\varepsilon \notin \bar{L}$
- $a \mathrm{ab} \in \bar{L}$
- $\mathrm{ab} \notin \bar{L}$
- baab $\in \bar{L}$
- aabb $\notin \bar{L}$
- $\mathrm{abab} \in \bar{L}$
- aaabbb $\notin \bar{L}$

Write a context-free grammar that generates $\bar{L}$, then give derivations for the four strings listed in the left-hand column.
(Hint: There are several separate cases you need to consider. You might want to design the grammar to consider each of these cases independently of one another.)

## (ii) Disjoint Unions

(10 Points)
Let $\Sigma=\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ and let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ be arbitrary context-free languages over $\Sigma$. Prove that $L_{1} \uplus L_{2}$ is context-free as well. As a reminder,

$$
L_{1} \uplus L_{2}=\left\{0 w \mid w \in L_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{1 w \mid w \in L_{2}\right\}
$$

## (i) The Halting Problem

(15 Points)
Prove or disprove: for any TMs $H$ and $M$ and any string $w$, if $H$ is a recognizer for $H A L T$ and $M$ loops on $w$, then $H$ loops on $\langle M, w\rangle$.

## (ii) RE Languages

(15 Points)
A palindrome number is a number whose base-10 representation is a palindrome. For example, 1 is a palindrome number, as is 14941 and 7897987.

Consider the following language:
$L=\{\langle n\rangle \mid n \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ where $k>0$ and $n k$ is a palindrome number $\}$
For example, $\langle 106\rangle \in L$ because $106 \times 2=212$, which is a palindrome number. Also, $\langle 29\rangle \in L$, because $29 \times 8=232$, which is a palindrome number.

Prove or disprove: $L \in \mathbf{R E}$.

## (iii) Unsolvable Problems

(25 Points)
Consider the following language $D E C I D E R$ :

$$
D E C I D E R=\{\langle M\rangle \mid M \text { is a decider }\}
$$

Prove that $D E C I D E R \notin \mathbf{R E}$ and $D E C I D E R \notin$ co-RE. We recommend using a mapping reduction involving the language $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ALL}}$ from Problem Set 8 , which is neither RE nor co-RE. For reference:

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ALL}}=\left\{\langle M\rangle \mid M \text { is a TM and } \mathscr{L}(M)=\Sigma^{*}\right\}
$$

## Problem Five: P and NP Languages

(30 Points Total)

## (i) Non-NPC Languages

There are exactly two languages in $\mathbf{N P}$ that we currently know are not NP-complete: $\varnothing$ and $\Sigma^{*}$. Prove that $\Sigma^{*}$ is not NP-complete.
(ii) Resolving $\mathbf{P} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{N P}$
(15 Points)
Suppose that we can prove the following statement:
For every pair of NP languages $A$ and $B$ (where neither $A$ nor $B$ is $\emptyset$ or $\Sigma^{*}$ ), we have $A \leq_{\mathrm{p}} B$.
Under this assumption, decide which of the following is true, then prove your choice is correct.

- $\mathbf{P}$ is necessarily equal to $\mathbf{N P}$.
- $\mathbf{P}$ is necessarily not equal to $\mathbf{N P}$.
- P may or may not be equal to NP.

